IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.10403 OF 2004

Smt.Chanda Sopan Avate & Ors. ...Petitioner.

Vs.

M/s.Mintage Constructions &

Builders Pvt.Ltd. & Ors. ...Respondents.

.....

Mr.P.G.Karande for the Petitioner.

Mr.S.V.Chava for Respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Mr.A.H.Palekar, AGP for Respondent Nos.5 and 6.

.....

CORAM : DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

April 28, 2005.

P.C. :

Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners makes a categoric statement that the Petitioners are not interested in obstructing the implementation of the Slum Rehabilitation Scheme. Counsel states that the Petitioners have two grievances in respect of which they seek the

assistance of the Slum Rehabilitation Authority. These grievances are: (i) that the developers should be directed to enter into registered agreements in respect of permanent alternate accommodation with the Petitioners; and (ii) that the Slum Rehabilitation Authority may be directed to verify whether the transit accommodation as made is in a habitable condition and is otherwise safe for occupation. Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners states that a representation shall be made before the Slum Rehabilitation Authority within a period of two weeks from today. In the event that such a representation is made, the Slum Rehabilitation Authority shall consider the representation and after verification, pass orders in accordance with law within a period of four weeks. Since no other submission has been pressed, the petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the aforesaid direction.

.....